



Mining – Appendix

MapBiomass 10m - Collection 4

Version 1

General coordinator

Cesar Guerreiro Diniz

Team

Arlesson Antonio de Almeida Souza

Luiz Cortinhas Ferreira Neto

Maria Luize Silva Pinheiro

Júlia Ascencio Cansado

Date: August of 2026

Table of Contents

1 Overview.....	2
2 Sentinel image mosaics.....	3
2.1 Temporal coverage.....	3
2.2 Mosaic Subsets.....	4
2.2.1 Mining.....	4
2.2.2 Reference Data.....	4
3 Segmentation.....	5
3.1 Feature Space.....	6
3.2 Segmentation scheme.....	6
4 Post-segmentation.....	7
4.1 Degraded Vegetation and Water Differentiation.....	7
4.2 Gap-Fill filter.....	8
4.3 Temporal filter.....	8
4.4 Spatial filter.....	9
4.5 Frequency filter.....	9
4.6 Integration with biomes and cross-cutting themes.....	9
5 Error/Accuracy Assessment.....	10
References.....	11

How to cite: MapBiomass Project, 10 Meters – Collection 4, Mining Appendix, Version 1, published August 2026. Available at: <https://github.com/mapbiomas/brazil-mining>

1 Overview

Today, Brazil is among the five largest producers of iron ore, niobium, bauxite, and manganese in the world (Bray, 2020), exporting a variety of mineral inputs with a high level of purity and internationally recognized quality. Despite its low area representation, mining expansion has been rising across the country, reaching ~440,000 hectares in 2023, an ~8-times higher value than reported in 1985 (~55,000 hectares), according to MapBiomass Collection 9 (using Landsat data).

Mining mapping in the new Collection 4, with 10 meters (Sentinel-2) carries the same overall method as in Collections 3, 2, and 1 in most of Brazil's territory. It includes updates on the quantity and quality of the training samples, and a larger number of activation grids were used in the processing steps of the mining recognition algorithm. In addition, in Collection 4 and 3, the sampling, training, and prediction were performed separately for each Brazilian biome. The method still uses U-Net (Ronneberger et al., 2015), a CNN detection based on Deep Learning. The pipeline is composed of six U-Nets, each targeting a specific Brazilian biome.

The stack of reference data now includes information from additional sources: CPRM (Brazilian Geological Service), AhkBrasilien (Brazil-Germany Chamber of Commerce and Industry), INPE (National Institute for Space Research), ISA (Instituto Socioambiental), and AMW (Amazon Mining Watch). Details regarding the segmentation process are described below in Figure 1 and on GitHub:

<https://github.com/mapbiomas/brazil-mining>




Figure 1 – Processing diagram. The steps related to image processing are in blue. The steps in green are related to the sample design. Segmentation procedures are in yellow. The accuracy assessment phase is in red. BQA stands for Band Quality Assessment. (1) Range from 2016 to 2025.

2 Sentinel image mosaics

The segmentation of the cross-cutting theme “**Mining**” uses Sentinel-2 “Top of Atmosphere—TOA” mosaics, which differ from the “Surface Reflectance—SR” processing level used in the land use and land cover segmentation of the Brazilian biomes. The Sentinel-2 mosaics prepared for the mining mapping were cropped to comprise areas where mining sites are known to exist. These mosaics are the second generation of the methodology developed specifically for these cross-cutting themes.

The annual cloud-free mosaics were generated in the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform, with all raster data and sub-products derived from the Sentinel-2 Top of Atmosphere (TOA) imagery, which includes Level-1C orthorectified top-of-atmosphere reflectance.

2.1 Temporal coverage

The annual cloud-free composites used in the mining segmentation are generated by calculating the median pixel value of all images available in the GEE image collection from January 1 to December 31 of each year.

2.2 Mosaic Subsets

2.2.1 Mining

We have updated the mosaic acquisition process from the Sentinel-2 Collection 2 to this current workflow, which represents Collection 3. The mosaic generation process utilizes Sentinel-2 data (Harmonized collection) combined with the Sentinel-2 Cloud Probability collection. Google Earth Engine (GEE) evaluates the cloud probability of each pixel, automatically masking those with a probability of 50% or higher.

To create the cloud-free composite, GEE applies a reducer to the stack of clear images over time. The script also computes various spectral and water quality indices (such as NDVI, MNDWI, and MMRI). Finally, the optical bands undergo a radiometric normalization based on the 5th and 95th percentiles and are rescaled to 8-bit (Byte), optimizing the export of the final mosaics for each biome. This operation has improved over several collections, and it is possible to see the difference between two "cloud-free composites" from different collections below (Figure 2).




Figure 2 - Left, MapBiomass 10-meters Collection 2 "cloud-free composite." Right, MapBiomass 10-meters Collection 3 "cloud-free composite."

Then, the annual mosaics were clipped to grid polygons that are known to have mining activity according to our reference dataset, and large areas where these activities are not expected to occur were excluded.

2.2.2 Reference Data

Brazil, especially the Brazilian Amazon (BA), has many publicly available datasets, from geological surveys and change detection platforms to deforestation early-warning

systems. Mining data availability is highly diverse in scale, type, and timeframe. Spatially explicit data may be found at a higher or lower resolution, with a greater or lesser degree of human intervention, for scientific or journalistic use, but from which a great set of spatial references of artisanal and industrial mining sites can be acquired/inferred. The reference dataset used in our segmentation comprises multiple data sources: Deter-B (<http://terrabilis.dpi.inpe.br/>), MapBiomias Alert (<http://alerta.mapbiomas.org>), RAISG (<http://www.amazoniasocioambiental.org>), ISA (<https://www.socioambiental.org/>), CPRM-GeoSGB (<https://geosgb.cprm.gov.br/>), Ahkbrasiliem (<https://www.ahkbrasiliem.com.br/>), AMW (<https://amazonminingwatch.org/>), and additional visual interpretations.

Table 1 – Reference data used in our products. References were visually analyzed and converted to bounding boxes.

Class	References
Mining	Deter: http://terrabilis.dpi.inpe.br/ MapBiomias Alert: http://alerta.mapbiomas.org RAISG: http://www.amazoniasocioambiental.org ISA: https://www.socioambiental.org/ CPRM-GeoSGB: https://geosgb.cprm.gov.br/ Ahkbrasiliem: https://www.ahkbrasiliem.com.br/ AMW: https://amazonminingwatch.org/ and Additional visual interpretations

Reference data were visually analyzed and converted to bounding boxes (100 km x 100 km), which were overlaid on grids used to process the deep-learning mining recognition algorithm in a parallel fashion.

3 Segmentation

In Collection 4 and 3, the U-net classifier was retained, but its implementation was restructured. Pixel-wise classification between mining and non-mining areas now incorporates statistical techniques such as majority voting and biome-specific regionalization. For each biome, five U-net models—trained over different epochs—are combined to generate the final classification. The class receiving the highest number of votes across the models (majority voting) is assigned as the final label on the map.

The semi-automatic segmentation of Sentinel-2 mosaics was performed entirely on local servers. Once the sample collection is finished, the U-net segmentation results in the pre-filtered segmentation product. The segmented data is injected back into GEE, where spatial-temporal filters and visual inspection occur (Figure 3).




Figure 3 - Mining Detection Earth Engine-TensorFlow pipeline. The process is structured in 4 steps. First (1), GEE generates the cloud-free composites and creates the initial training dataset. Second (2), the mosaics and training data are downloaded and stored locally. Three (3) initiate patch-wise training and segmentation. In the fourth step (4), the segmented product is spatially and temporally filtered. The filtered product is visually and statistically inspected. Multiple iterations may be used until a satisfactory spatial and temporal quality is achieved.

3.1 Feature Space

Tables 2 and 3 show all spectral indices and bands used for the mining detection.

Table 2 – Spectral Indices used for mining detection.

Index	Expression	Reducer	Reference
NDVI	$(\text{NIR} - \text{RED}) / (\text{NIR} + \text{RED})$	Median and Standard Deviation	Tucker, 1979
MNDWI	$(\text{GREEN} - \text{SWIR1}) / (\text{GREEN} + \text{SWIR1})$	Median and Standard Deviation	Xu, 2006

Table 3 - Table of bands used for mining detection..

Variable	Description	Reducer
GREEN	Landsat Green band median value	Median and Standard Deviation
RED	Landsat Red band median value	Median and Standard Deviation
NIR	Landsat NIR band median value	Median and Standard Deviation
SWIR1	Landsat SWIR1 band median value	Median and Standard Deviation

3.2 Segmentation scheme

For the supervised segmentation of the Sentinel-2 mosaics, we selected training samples (geometries) from the previously generated bounding boxes (grids). Like any supervised algorithm, our U-net-based approach depends on human-labeled training data, categorized as mining (Mi) and non-mining (N-Mi). Guided by the reference dataset, the mining and non-mining samples are visually delineated. It is essential to highlight that no differentiation was made between artisanal and industrial mining samples. Therefore, from this point on, every time mining samples or classes are mentioned, it includes both artisanal

and industrial patterns. The dissociation between such patterns, *garimpo* or industrial, and the exploited main substance results from post-segmentation and visual analysis.

Collection 2 testified to the necessity of observing each Brazilian biome more closely regarding the mining presence. Therefore, in Collection 3 onwards, the training samples were collected following the Brazilian biomes' subdivision, aiming to better characterize the mining activity within each biome. Table 4 presents the parameters used in each U-net instance.

Table 4 - Model attributes and segmentation parameters. In total, six (6) distinct attributes were used.

Parameters	Values
Model	U-Net
Patch Size	256 x 256 px
Optimizer	Nadam
Learning Rate	5×10^{-6}
Attributes	Green, Red, Nir, Swir 1, NDVI & MNDWI
Output	Mining and Not-Mining

Once the sample collection and the U-net segmentation are done, the segmented data is injected back into GEE, where spatial-temporal filters and visual inspection occur. This phase was undertaken to correct segmentation errors and evaluate the need to collect (or not) additional training samples.

4 Post-segmentation

Due to the segmentation method's pixel-based nature and the very long temporal series, a chain of post-segmentation filters was applied to reduce the salt-and-pepper effect and add spatiotemporal consistency. The post-segmentation process includes the application of the following steps and filters: degraded vegetation and water bodies differentiation, gap-fill, temporal, spatial, and frequency.

4.1 Degraded Vegetation and Water Differentiation

At this stage, the raw segmentation results are accumulated on a year-by-year basis. The U-Net model is trained to recognize the mining footprint, therefore, it incorporates surface water bodies and degraded vegetation associated to the ore extraction activities. Inside the previously mining segmented pixels, a Random Forest mode is runned, aiming to extract two additional targets that are frequently present within or around mined areas: degraded vegetation and water bodies.

Thus, for each year, the Random Forest algorithm is applied to the raw mining data to allow the separability between exposed soil, degraded vegetation, and surface water bodies. This process allows us to deep understand the dynamics of each mining footprint, enabling the comprehension of vegetational regrowth and surface water presence inside each mining

footprint. As a result of such segregation, the statistics associated to the pixel value 30 will be smaller in Collection 4 and 3 than it was in Collection 2. Although, if desired, the user can accumulate the mining footprint vegetation and the surface water bodies to restore the original aspect of the U-Net mining class.

4.2 Gap-Fill filter

The post-processing steps follows by filling in possible no-data values. In a long-time series of severely cloud-affected regions, such as forested areas of tropical countries, pixels with no-data values are expected to be present in median composite mosaics. The gap-fill filter replaces the no-data values (e.g., image “gaps”) with a valid pixel from the nearest date available. In this procedure, if no “future” valid class is available, the no-data value is replaced by the nearest previous valid class. Up to three prior years can fill in persistent no-data pixels. Therefore, gaps should only exist if a given pixel has been permanently segmented as no-data throughout the entire temporal series. A year mask was built to track pixel temporal origins, as shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5 – Gap-filling filter mechanism. The following valid pixel data replaces existing no-data values. If no “future” valid position is available, then the no-data value is replaced by its previous valid value based on up to a maximum of three (3) prior years. To keep track of pixel temporal origins, a “year” mask was built.

4.3 Temporal filter

Next, we applied a temporal filter that uses sequential segmentation in a 3-year unidirectional moving window to identify temporally non-permitted transitions. Based on a single generic rule (GR), the temporal filter, inspects the central position of three consecutive years (“ternary”). It changes its value if it differs from the first and last years in

the ternary, which must have identical classes. The central year of the ternary is then remapped to match its temporal neighbor class, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5 - The temporal filter inspects the central position for three consecutive years, and in cases of identical extremities, the center position is remapped to match its neighbor. T1, T2, and T3 stand for positions one (1), two (2), and three (3), respectively. GR means “generic rule,” while Mi and N-Mi represent mining and non-mining pixels.

Rule	Input (Year)			Output		
	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3
GR	Mi	N-Mi	Mi	Mi	Mi	Mi
GR	N-Mi	Mi	N-Mi	N-Mi	N-Mi	N-Mi

4.4 Spatial filter

Then, a spatial filter was applied to avoid unwanted modifications on the edges of grouping pixels (clusters) by using the “connectedPixelCount” function. Native to the GEE platform, this function locates connected components (neighbors) that share the same pixel value. Thus, only pixels that do not share connections to a pre-defined number of identical neighbors are considered isolated, as shown in Figure 6. This filter needs at least ten connected pixels to reach the minimum connection value. Consequently, the minimum mapping unit is directly affected by the spatial filter applied, which was defined as 10 pixels.




Figure 6 – The spatial filter removes pixels that do not share neighbors of identical value. The minimum connection value was 10 pixels.

4.5 Frequency filter

The last post-processing filter step is the frequency filter. This filter considers the frequency of a given class throughout the entire time series. Thus, all class occurrences with less than 10% temporal persistence are filtered out and incorporated into the non-class binary. This mechanism reduces the temporal oscillation in the segmentation, decreases the number of false positives, and preserves consolidated classes.

4.6 Integration with biomes and cross-cutting themes

After applying the post-processing filters, we integrate the cross-cutting themes and the Biomes data into a single raster dataset. This integration is guided by a set of specific hierarchical prevalence rules (Table 6). The resulting output is a final land cover/land use map for each region of the MapBiomias project.

The top position classes in the prevalence rank are related to coastal ecosystems (such as mangroves, beaches, dunes, and sand spots; aquaculture) and anthropogenic land use (i.e., mining and urban infrastructure) present throughout the country (Table 6).

Table 6 - Prevalence rules for combining the output of digital segmentation with the cross-cutting themes in Collection 4.

Classes Level 1	Classes Level 2	Pixel Value	Prevalence	Legend Color	Description
Non Vegetated Area	Mining	30	3	#9c0027	Areas of surface mineral extraction, either industrial or artisanal (garimpo), with clear soil exposure due to anthropogenic action. Underground or underwater mining cannot be detected.

5 Error/Accuracy Assessment

Once all classes from the Mining theme constitute a rare population concerning its distribution throughout Brazil's territory, the error assessment strategy must rely on a sample design specifically focused on that matter. The error assessment of rare classes is under development and will soon be available.

References

Bray, E.L.. Bauxite and alumina. U.S. Geol. Surv. Miner. Yearb. 2020.

Deng, Y., Wu, C., Li, M., & Chen, R. (2015). **RNDSI: A ratio normalized difference soil index for remote sensing of urban/suburban environments**. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 39, 40–48. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2015.02.010>

European Space Agency. (2015). **Sentinel-2: ESA's Optical High-Resolution Mission for Land Monitoring**. European Space Agency. <https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/missions/sentinel-2>.

Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., & Brox, T. (2015). **U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation**. *CoRR, abs/1505.0*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04597>

Tucker, C. J. (1979). **Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation**. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 8(2), 127–150. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257\(79\)90013-0](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(79)90013-0)

USGS. (2017). **LANDSAT COLLECTION 1 LEVEL 1 PRODUCT DEFINITION**. Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center. https://landsat.usgs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/LSDS-1656_Landsat_Level-1_Product_Collection_Definition.pdf

XU, H. Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, v. 27, n. 14, p. 3025–3033, 20 jul. 2006.